SAFE USE OF WORKPLACE CHEMICALS

Hazard Communication . . . Right to Know . . . Permissible Exposure Limits . . . and that’s just the beginning. It would be great if there weren’t any “hazardous” substances, and thus no need to worry about regulations or safe use methods.

“Great,” but not “real.” At home or in the workplace, our exposure to hazardous chemicals is frequent. Gassing up the car, washing the dishes, fertilizing the lawn, or painting the porch – these routine tasks are repeated countless times each day. Each involves exposure to chemicals with hazards.

Realistically, we must learn how to use such chemicals to minimize personal risk. But how do we know what the problems and hazards of a particular workplace material are, or what we can do about them?

This issue of TeleTopics will discuss chemical hazards and safety and, specifically, the “toxicology” of workplace chemicals.

How Much Is Too Much?

Toxicology is simply the “science of poisons.” If you look at toxicology data for baking soda, table salt and cyanide, you may be surprised to find that all of them can poison you – all have “lethal doses”!!

However, as you might suspect, the cyanide is extremely toxic, and can be fatal at very low exposure levels. The two food materials have very low level toxicity, and large quantities are required for adverse systemic effects.

We’ve learned the first fact about toxicity. All chemicals are somewhat toxic. What’s important is the “degree” or “severity” of the toxicity. It’s this “degree” we need to know to assess the hazard and to develop safe material handling procedures.

Taste, Touch, and Smell

Another important factor is the way one may be exposed to a specific workplace chemical. Most of us think of “poisons” as being eaten or ingested (oral). However, toxic materials can also enter the human body through the skin (dermal) or via the lungs (inhalation).

In industrial situations, inhalation and dermal exposures are often the primary concern. Most workers know better than to eat workplace materials, but may not think much about problems from smelling or touching them.

Where to Start

We need information on “exposure” and “severity” for our workplace chemicals. This information should be on the MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) for the specific chemical substance. This MSDS is available from the chemical’s manufacturer, and will provide information on toxicity, exposures, and first aid. The MSDS also contains information on fire hazard, chemical incompatibilities, disposal, and shipping.

Unfortunately, some MSDS sheets are not well done and provide only marginally adequate information to help the end user to develop safe use procedures. A thorough MSDS sheet, on the other hand, contains a lot of information, but it does not interpret it for your situation and end use. So . . . how can you interpret and use the MSDS?

Terrifying Terminology

Because a thorough MSDS provides information to cover a broad variety of product use or misuse, some of the terminology can sound downright scary.

One term often found on an MSDS is an “LD₅₀”, which stands for the lethal dose required to produce a 50% test animal death rate. This is the quantity of material that would (orally, via dermal contact, intravenously, etc.) result in the death of 50% of a group of test animals (usually rats, mice or guinea pigs). LD₅₀ data is presented as a weight of the chemical substance to the weight of the animal, most commonly in milligrams of substance per kilogram of body weight (mg/kg).

We can see why materials that require these quantities will show adverse effects are considered “extremely low toxicity.”

Listed below are LD₅₀ data for some familiar chemicals. A common use for the substance is also provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material/Use</th>
<th>LD₅₀</th>
<th>LD₅₀ as Percent of Body Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curare (poison arrows)</td>
<td>oral-rabbit 270 mg/kg</td>
<td>.027%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Cyanide (metal. finishing)</td>
<td>oral-rat 6.444 mg/kg</td>
<td>.00064%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baking Soda (baking)</td>
<td>oral-rat 4,220 mg/kg</td>
<td>.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lye (cleaning)</td>
<td>oral-rat 365 mg/kg</td>
<td>.036%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene Glycol (cosmetics)</td>
<td>oral-rat 20,000 mg/kg</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiskey (drinking)</td>
<td>oral-rat 13,200 mg/kg</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (poisons)</td>
<td>oral-rat .605 mg/kg</td>
<td>.006%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We see that LD₅₀ levels vary by factors of millions. LD₅₀ data is one of the ways we determine “degree” of toxicity.

LD₅₀ data becomes more understandable when we look at what the animal data means on human exposure. One guideline to lethal dose levels is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lethal Dose</th>
<th>Toxic Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1.0 mg/kg</td>
<td>Dangerously toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0-5.0 mg/kg</td>
<td>Seriously toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0-50 mg/kg</td>
<td>Highly toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-500 mg/kg</td>
<td>Moderately toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-5,000 mg/kg</td>
<td>Slightly toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000-15,000 mg/kg</td>
<td>Extremely low level toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 15,000 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 150-pound person would have to ingest several quarts of a chemical to reach the 15,000-mg/kg level. We can see why materials that require these quantities to show adverse effects are considered “extremely low toxicity.”
Because their major component is water, POLYWATER® Cable Pulling Lubricants have extraordinarily low toxicity. Ingestion of up to 50% of body weight (10-20 gallons) to reach a level with systemic effects is simply not realistic or possible.

Dermal Toxicity

Dermal toxicity can be quantified in the same way as ingestion (via LD₅₀’s), except the amount of material that must be absorbed through the skin to produce toxic effects is determined. Fortunately, the absorption of many substances through thick, exposed skin (hands, etc.) is rather slow. There are notable exceptions, including certain pesticides and steroids.

A different aspect of skin exposure is sensitivity or allergic reaction. Such reactions, which are specific to an individual, involve exposure to quantities much smaller than those necessary for systemic toxic effects. Almost any material can produce an allergy in a hypersensitive person. Skin patch tests are used to indicate the general skin irritation potential of various materials.

What You Can’t See

Exposure to airborne dusts, mists, fumes, and vapors is difficult to determine and control in a construction environment. Since the primary “evaporation” component is water, inhalation exposure is not a consideration in POLYWATER® Lubricant use. However, the cleaning solvents frequently used in cable work do have evaporating solvents, and thus present respiratory or inhalation exposure.

The inhalation version of the oral lethal dose is called the LC₅₀ – lethal concentration fifty – or the concentration required to produce a 50% test animal death rate. LC₅₀ data involves not only the concentration of the substance in the air (usually in mg/m³ or ppm), but also exposure time, animal type, etc.

Because inhalation is such a common industrial exposure, hygiene groups and/or government agencies (OSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH) have developed a set of airborne exposure limits called TLV’s or PEL’s (Threshold Limit Values or Permissible Exposure Limits). These exposure limits are given in ppm [parts per million of air] or pphm [parts per hundred million of air]. The most frequently used TLV limits are TWA’s (Time Weighted Averages). They represent the maximum recommended airborne concentration under which most people can work (for an eight-hour day) without adverse health effects.

Cleaning Solvents With No TLV

TLV’s for solvent cleaners/degreasers can be found on their MSDS’s. But what if a cleaning solvent has "no listed TLV?" Does this mean that using vapor exposure is safe? Obviously not!! "No listed TLV" means that there is not enough information available for the industrial hygiene experts to "establish" a TLV, or a safe working level. Without such guidance, we must look for other data to determine safe exposure levels and potential respiratory needs. Data such as LC₅₀, animal tests or TLV’s from chemically similar materials might be considered.

Is Bigger Better?

Many people think that a material with a TLV of 500 ppm is "safer" than one with a TLV of 100 ppm. This is not necessarily true. For instance, if the TLV 500 ppm solvent evaporates quickly, vapor levels well above 500 ppm could easily occur in a vault or confined area. If the TLV 100 ppm solvent is slow to evaporate, the vault airborne concentration may never reach 100 ppm. Safe cleaning solvent depends not only on the TLV, but also how much of the material evaporates, in what area, and with what kind of ventilation.

The Nose Knows?

Will smell tell us when we reach airborne concentration levels above a cleaner’s TLV? Unfortunately . . . no. Some cleaning solvents may have strong, irritating odors well below their TLV levels. However, others can have very little odor at concentrations well above their permissible exposure limits. Unfortunately, it’s a natural inclination to use those solvents that don’t "smell" bad!!

Do not make the mistake of equating vapor level with odor. You cannot use a solvent cleaner safely based on whether or not people like its "smell."

One Way to Skin the TLV Cat

One popular package for our HydraSol® Cable Gel Remover is a pouch containing a lint-free towel saturated with the water-base HydraSol® Cleaner (HS-1). This "HS-1" offers convenience and time savings (plus the highly effective HydraSol® Cleaner)!!

Another advantage of the HS-1 package is vapor control!! By determining the rate and amount of solvent evaporation from an HS-1, we have been able to develop a computer program to determine the vapor concentration in a confined work area. One such determination is shown below:

In this example, the vapor concentration from a single HS-1 used at T=0 min.

HydraSol Towelette use reaches about 10 ppm. This is less than 5% of the suggested 500 ppm TLV. The use of the HS-1 package allows you to engineer and control the exposure of your workers to solvent vapors. Bulk cleaner use (aerosol spray, quart bottle, gallon can, etc.) does not offer such exposure control.

Our Offer to You

If you would like details on a HydraSol® HS-1 exposure analysis (graph) for your specific situation, or a sample of an HS-1, please contact our Customer Service Department (toll free: 800-328-9384).

Summary

Not all that's important in chemical toxicology and safe use could be covered in this space. Your company's industrial hygienist is the expert in this area, and should be consulted with your specific problems.

You've seen the use of exposure and toxicity data from an MSDS to assess a workplace chemical's hazard. Such toxicity information can be used to decide not only if you should use the chemical, but also, more importantly, how you can safely use it.
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